

Practitioners' Guide for Improving Oral Anticoagulant Use

Learning Objectives

- Discuss the prevalence and types of adverse drug events related to oral anticoagulants.
- Review the drug classifications of oral anticoagulants.
- Compare characteristics of oral vitamin K antagonists and direct acting oral anticoagulants.
- Identify pathophysiological states for utilization of oral anticoagulation therapy.
- Review barriers to proper oral anticoagulant use.
- List steps clinicians can take to reduce the risk of adverse drug events from oral anticoagulants.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym/Abbreviation	Full Term
AFib	atrial fibrillation
ADE	adverse drug event
CBC	complete blood count
DOAC	direct acting oral anticoagulant
DVT	deep vein thrombosis
ED	emergency department
FFP	fresh frozen plasma
GI	gastrointestinal
INR	international normalized ratio
PCC	prothrombin complex concentrate
PE	pulmonary embolism
PST	patient self-testing
PT	prothrombin time
SDM	shared decision-making
SNF	skilled nursing facility
VTE	venous thromboembolism

Background

Why the Focus on Oral Anticoagulants?

- High prevalence of oral anticoagulants prescribed (warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, edoxaban, apixaban, betrixaban).
- Despite efficacious therapies for stroke prevention in patients with AFib, less than 60% of AFib patients at high-risk for stroke are treated with oral anticoagulants.
- High potential for harm, such as gastrointestinal hemorrhage or stroke, with improper use.
- Misconceptions over proper use and burdens associated with their use.
- High number of emergency department (ED) visits from anticoagulant adverse drug events (ADEs).

What Is an Adverse Drug Event (ADE)?

An injury resulting from medical intervention related to a drug.

This includes:

- Medication errors,
- Adverse drug reactions including drug therapeutic failure,
- Allergic reactions, and
- Overdoses.



https://health.gov/hcq/ade.asp

Outpatient Adverse Drug Event Results 2013-2014



Shehab N, et al. U.S. emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events 2013-2014. 2016 Nov;316(20):2115-2125

ED Visits for ADEs by Medication Class



Shehab N, et al. US emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events 2013-2014. 2016 Nov;316(20):2115-2125.

ADE ED Visits in Adults ≥ 65 years, 2013-2014



Shehab N, et al. US emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events 2013-2014. 2016 Nov;316(20):2115-2125.

What Is the Most Common ADE Due to an Anticoagulant in the ED?

US Emergency Department (ED) Visits for Adverse Drug Events (ADEs) From Select Drug Classes by Adverse Event Manifestation, 2013-2014 Please Note: The case counts below are only for anticoagulants.

Adverse Event Manifestation	No. of Cases	National Estimate, % (95% CI)
Hemorrhage	5101	79.4 (75.2-83.6)
Central nervous system ^d	262	2.8 (1.4-4.2)
Pulmonary	149	2.3 (1.7-3.0)
Gastrointestinal	1577	27.0 (21.0-32.9)
Genitourinary	547	9.5 (6.6-12.4)
Epistaxis	815	15.0 (11.7-18.3)
Skin, wound, or other minor	1418	18.8 (13.2-24.4)
Other hemorrhage types	333	4.1 (2.5-5.6)

Shehab N, et al. US emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events 2013-2014. 2016 Nov;316(20):2115-2125.

Drug Classifications of Oral Anticoagulants: DOACs and Vitamin K Antagonists

Direct Acting Oral Anticoagulants (DOACs) and Vitamin K Antagonists



Direct Thrombin Inhibitor

Dabigatran

Factor Xa Inhibitors

- Apixaban
- Betrixaban
- Edoxaban
- Rivaroxaban

Factors II, VII, IX, and X Inhibitors

• Vitamin K antagonists - Warfarin

John N. Makaryus, Jonathan L. Halperin & Joe F. Lau, Oral anticoagulants in the management of venous thromboembolism, Nature Reviews Cardiology Volume 10

The "Ideal" Oral Anticoagulant

- Once daily dosing
- Minimal monitoring required
- Reversal agent available
- Wide therapeutic index
- Low cost
- Easy dose adjustments for renal function and age



Indications for Oral Anticoagulation

- Treatment of existing thrombosis
 - Acute venous thromboembolism (VTE)
- Primary prevention of thrombosis
 - Patients with atrial fibrillation (AFib) with an increased risk of stroke
 - Patients with mechanical heart valves
 - Extended VTE prophylaxis following major orthopedic surgeries
- Secondary prevention of thrombosis
 - Patients with a history of VTE for which benefits of treatment outweigh risks
 - Patients with AFib and a history of cardioembolic stroke
- Reduction in the risk of death, recurrent myocardial infarction, and thromboembolic events such as stroke or systemic embolization after myocardial infarction
- Reduction in risk of major cardiovascular events in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) or peripheral artery disease (PAD)

VA and NIH Recommendations

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH), among other institutions and professional organizations provide clinicians with recommendations for baseline and on-going laboratory testing, whenever a patient is started on an oral anticoagulant.



Clinical Lab Recommendations Prior to and During Oral Anticoagulant Therapy

Warfarin	DOACs
CBC (complete blood count)	• CBC
• PT (prothrombin time)	Serum creatinine
 INR (international normalized ratio) 	 Liver function tests (if history or risk of hepatic insufficiency)
NOTE: Initial INR should not be performed using patient self-testing (PST) devices.	

CHA2DS2-VASc

Points	CHA2DS2-	Unadjusted Ischemic Stroke Rate (% / year)	
1	VASc Score		
	0	0.2	
1	1	0.6	
2	2	2.2	
1	3	3.2	
2	4	4.8	
	5	7.2	
	6	9.7	
1	7	11.2	
1	8	10.8	
1	9	12.2	
	Points 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PointsCHA2DS2- VASC Score1011212213242426171819	

Friberg L, Rosenqvist M, Lip GY. Evaluation of risk stratification schemes for ischaemic stroke and bleeding in 182 678 patients with atrial fibrillation: the Swedish Atrial Fibrillation cohort study. Eur Heart J 2012; 33:1500

HAS-BLED

Letter	Clinical Characteristic	Points
н	Hypertension (> 160mm Hg systolic)	1
Α	Abnormal Liver or Renal Function (one point for each)	1 OF 2
S	Stroke (previous history)	1
В	Bleeding (history of predisposition)	1
L	Labile INR (time in therapeutic range < 60%)	1
E	Elderly (age > 65 years)	1
D	Drugs or Alcohol (1 point for each) (one point for antiplatelet or nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs and one point for alcohol excess)	1 OF 2

Maximum Score: 9

https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2014/07/18/11/38/which-risk-score-best-predicts-bleeding-with-warfarin-in-atrial-fibrillation

HEMORR₂HAGES

Letter	Clinical Characteristic	Points
Н	Hepatic or Renal Disease	1
E	Ethanol Abuse	1
Μ	Malignancy	1
0	Older Age	1
R	Reduced Platelet Count or Function	1
R	Rebleeding Risk	2
Н	Hypertension	1
А	Anemia	1
G	Genetic Factors	1
E	Excessive Fall Risk	1
S	Stroke	1

Maximum Score: 12

Case Study

Using the HAS-BLED bleeding risk assessment, which of the following factors may increase JR's risk of a bleeding event?

JR is a 62-year-old man with a history of hypertension, type 2 diabetes, arthritis, alcoholism, and previously had a gastrointestinal (GI) bleed.

His current medications include:

- 200 mg ibuprofen as needed,
- 81 mg aspirin daily,
- 20 mg lisinopril daily, and
- 500 mg metformin twice daily.



Case Study

JR is a 62-year-old man with a history of hypertension, type 2 diabetes, arthritis, **alcoholism**, and previously had a gastrointestinal **(GI) bleed**. His current medications include 200 mg **ibuprofen** as needed, 81 mg **aspirin daily**, 20 mg lisinopril daily, and 500 mg metformin twice daily.

Which of these factors increases JR's risk of a bleeding event?

Letter	Clinical Characteristic	Points
Н	Hepatic or Renal Disease	1
E	Ethanol Abuse	1
Μ	Malignancy	1
0	Older Age	1
R	Reduced Platelet Count or Function	1
R	Rebleeding Risk	2
н	Hypertension	1
А	Anemia	1
G	Genetic Factors	1
E	Excessive Fall Risk	1
S	Stroke	1

Maximum Score: 12

Warfarin

- Narrow therapeutic Index
- <u>Numerous food, drug, and</u> <u>disease state interactions</u>
- Individualized dosing due to variability in response
- Requires regular laboratory monitoring
- Complicated regimens
 - Patients often have to utilize calendars and other aids for proper administration

MARCH 2019						
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
					1 Dose: 3mg	2 Dose: 2mg
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Dose: 3mg	Dose: 3mg	Dose: 2mg	Dose: 3mg	Dose: 3mg	Dose: 2mg	Dose: 3mg
17 Dose: 3mg	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

Warfarin Dosing and INR

- Measure baseline INR prior to starting therapy.
- Initial dose of warfarin is usually between 2 to 5 mg once daily and maintenance doses range from 2 to 10 mg once daily.
- Consider smaller starting doses for high risk patients (elderly, low body weight, abnormal liver function or at a high bleeding risk).

https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/prothrombin-time/about/pac-20384661 https://docplayer.net/15751184-Safe-management-of-anticoagulants-in-wa-hospitals.html Reference: Warfarin sodium package insert. https://medlibrary.org/lib/rx/meds/warfarin-sodium-13/

Warfarin Monitoring Parameters

- International Normalized Ratio (INR)
- Goal range is dependent on rationale for utilization
- Recommended guidelines for monitoring
 - 4 weeks
 - Shorter intervals if INR is out of target range, or significant INR fluctuations expected
 - CHEST Guidelines suggest longer intervals of up to 12 weeks may be considered in patients with consistently stable INRs

Long-Term Warfarin Therapy

Some patients on long-term warfarin therapy experience unexpected fluctuations in dose-response due to:

- Changes in diet,
- Concurrent medication changes,
- Poor compliance,
- Alcohol consumption, or
- New or worsening disease states.

Patient Self-Testing (PST)

- INR test meter is a portable, battery-operated device, used to monitor patient response to warfarin
- Convenient alternative to in-clinic testing
- As revealed by The Home INR Study (THINRS), event rates for patient self-testing (PST) were not significantly different from those for in-clinic high-quality anticoagulation management, and a cumulative gain in quality of life was observed for patients using PST.

https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/InVitroDiagnostics/WarfarinINRTestMeters/default.htm



Dietary interactions



Disease state interactions



Drug interactions

Lourenco R. Enteral feeding: drug/nutrient interaction. Clin Nutr. 2001;20:187-93.

Penrod LE, Allen JB, Cabacungan LR. Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2011;82:1270-3.

Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:308-13.



Dietary interactions include:

- Vitamin K
 - High concentration in green leafy vegetables
- Alcohol
 - Increase INR in short term and decrease in long term
- Enteral tube feeding

Lourenco R. Enteral feeding: drug/nutrient interaction. Clin Nutr. 2001;20:187-93.

Penrod LE, Allen JB, Cabacungan LR. Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2011;82:1270-3.

Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:308-13.



Disease state interactions include:

- Hypo/hyperthyroidism
- Liver dysfunction

Lourenco R. Enteral feeding: drug/nutrient interaction. Clin Nutr. 2001;20:187-93.

Penrod LE, Allen JB, Cabacungan LR. Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2011;82:1270-3.

Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:308-13.



Drug interactions include:

- Acetaminophen
- Amiodarone
- Antimicrobials
 - Azole antifungals
 - Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim
- Herbals
- Clopidogrel

Lourenco R. Enteral feeding: drug/nutrient interaction. Clin Nutr. 2001;20:187-93.

Penrod LE, Allen JB, Cabacungan LR. Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2011;82:1270-3.

Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:308-13.

Potentiation of Warfarin

The article, Oral Anticoagulant Therapy, published in the CHEST Journal, contains a full table of drugs that may potentiate the action of warfarin. A PDF handout of this table is available in the Resources section of the course launch page.

Level of Causation	Anti- infectives	Cardiovascular	Analgesics, Anti- inflammatories, Immunologics	CNS Drugs	GI Drugs and Food	Herbal Supplements	Other Drugs
Highly Probable	Ciprofloxacin Co-trimoxazole Erythromycin Fluconazole	Amiodarone Clofibrate Diltiazem	Phenylbutazone Piroxicam	Alcohol (if concomitant liver disease) Citalopram Sertraline	Cimetidine Fish oil Mango Omeprazole	Boldo- fenugreek Quilinggao	Anabolic steroids Zileuton
Probable	Amoxicillin/ clavulanate	Aspirin	Acetaminophen Aspirin	Disulfiram Chloral hydrate	Grapefruit	Danshen Dong quai	Fluorouracil Tamoxifen
Possible	Amoxicillin	Amiodarone- induced toxicosis	Celecoxib	Felbamate	Orlistat	Danshen/ Methyl salicylate	Acarbose
Highly Improbable	Cefamandole Cefazolin	Bezafibrate Heparin	Levamisole Nabumetone	Fluoxetine Diazepam			Levonorgestrel

Ageno, W., Gallus, A. S., Wittkowsky, A., Crowther, M., Hylek, E. M., & Palareti, G. (2012). Oral Anticoagulant Therapy Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. CHEST Journal, 141(2), e44S–e44S. doi: https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-2292

Inhibition of Warfarin

The article, Oral Anticoagulant Therapy, published in the CHEST Journal, contains a full table of drugs that may inhibit the action of warfarin. A PDF handout of this table is available in the Resources section of the course launch page.

Level of Causation	Anti- infectives	Cardiovascular	Analgesics, Anti- inflammatories, Immunologics	CNS Drugs	GI Drugs and Food	Herbal Supplements	Other Drugs
Highly Probable	Griseofulvin	Cholestyramine	Mesalamine	Barbiturates	High vit. K content foods Avocados (lg. amts)		Mercaptopuri ne
Probable	Dicloxacillin	Bosentan	Azathioprine	Chlordiaze- poxide	Soy milk Sucralfate	Ginseng	Chelation Therapy Multi-vitamins
Possible	Terbinafine	Telmisartan	Sulfasalazine		Seaweed		Cyclosporine
Highly Improbable	Cloxacillin	Furosemide		Propofol		Green tea	

Ageno, W., Gallus, A. S., Wittkowsky, A., Crowther, M., Hylek, E. M., & Palareti, G. (2012). Oral Anticoagulant Therapy Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. CHEST Journal, 141(2), e44S–e44S. doi: https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-2292

Warfarin Reversal Agent

Vitamin K

- Indicated in patients treated with warfarin when reversal of the anticoagulant effects is needed (e.g., due to life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding).
- Intracerebral hemorrhage: Replace clotting factors within 90 minutes of emergency department arrival.
- Refer to labels regarding vitamin K and prothrombin complex concentrates (PCC).
- PCC generally preferred to fresh frozen plasma to restore clotting factors in patients requiring urgent warfarin reversal.
- Professional societies have also published guidelines on Management of Bleeding in Patients on Oral Anticoagulants.

Supratherapeutic Warfarin Management

INR	Clinical Scenario	Management
Between 4.5-10	No bleeding	Hold warfarin until INR in therapeutic range and resume at lower dose Do not administer vitamin K
> 10	No bleeding	Hold warfarin until INR in therapeutic range and resume at lower dose Consider 2.5 mg oral vitamin K
Any	Life threatening bleeding or urgently needed surgery	Hold warfarin Vitamin K 5 to 10 mg slow IV infusion AND Four- Factor prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC)

Chest 2012; 141:e152S-e184S

Knowledge Check

Match the correct drug-drug interaction and its effect. Refer to the Potentiation and Inhibition of Warfarin tables.

- a. Amiodarone warfarin \rightarrow inhibits effect of warfarin
- b. Phenytoin warfarin \rightarrow potentiates effect of warfarin
- c. Citalopram warfarin \rightarrow potentiates effect of warfarin
- d. Azole antifungals warfarin \rightarrow inhibits effect of warfarin



Knowledge Check

Match the correct drug-drug interaction and its effect. Refer to the Potentiation and Inhibition of Warfarin tables.

- a. Amiodarone warfarin \rightarrow inhibits effect of warfarin
- b. Phenytoin warfarin \rightarrow potentiates effect of warfarin
- c. Citalopram warfarin \rightarrow potentiates effect of warfarin
- d. Azole antifungals warfarin \rightarrow inhibits effect of warfarin


Contraindications to Warfarin

- Active bleeding
- Imminent hemorrhage
- Pregnancy (congenital malformations such as warfarin embryopathy and fetotoxicity)
- High bleeding risk
 - Bleeding risk assessments for AFib patients
 - HAS-BLED (Superior performance for predicting risk of major bleed)
 - HEMORR₂HAGES
 - ATRIA

Knowledge Check

According to the CHEST guidelines, what is the recommended INR monitoring frequency in patients receiving warfarin with consistently stable INRs?

- a. 4 weeks
- b. 6 weeks
- c. 8 weeks
- d. Up to 12 weeks
- e. At every doctor's visit



Knowledge Check

According to the CHEST guidelines, what is the recommended INR monitoring frequency in patients receiving warfarin with consistently stable INRs?

- a. 4 weeks
- b. 6 weeks
- c. 8 weeks
- d. Up to 12 weeks
- e. At every doctor's visit



DOACs and Approved Indications

DOAC	Primary VTE Prophylaxis in Hip/Knee Replacement Surgery	Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation	DVT/PE Treatment	Secondary Prevention of Recurrent DVT/PE	Primary VTE Prophylaxis of Adult Patients Hospitalized for an Acute Medical Illness	Reduce Risk of Major Cardiovascular Events in CAD and PAD patients (in combination with aspirin use)
Apixaban	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Betrixaban					Х	
Dabigatran	Х (Нір)	Х	Х	Х		
Edoxaban		Х	Х			
Rivaroxaban	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х

Knowledge Check

True or False?

All of the DOACs are indicated for prophylaxis of stroke in patients with Afib.

- a. True
- b. False



Knowledge Check

True or False?

All of the DOACs are indicated for prophylaxis of stroke in patients with Afib.

- a) True
- b) False

DOAC	Primary VTE Prophylaxis in Hip/Knee Replacement Surgery	Non-Valvular Atrial Fibrillation	DVT/PE Treatment	Secondary Prevention of Recurrent DVT/PE	Primary VTE Prophylaxis of Adult Patients Hospitalized for an Acute Medical Illness	Reduce Risk of Major Cardiovascular Events in CAD and PAD patients (in combination with aspirin use)
Apixaban	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Betrixaban					х	
Dabigatran	X (Hip)	Х	Х	Х		
Edoxaban		Х	Х			
Rivaroxaban	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

DOAC Dosing for Stroke Prophylaxis in Nonvalvular AFib

DOAC	Dosing for Stroke Prophylaxis in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation	Dose Adjustment	
Dabigatran	150 mg twice daily	CrCl 15-30 mL/min: 75 mg twice daily CrCl 30-50 mL/min with concomitant	
		P-gp inhibitors: 75 mg twice daily	
Apixaban	5 mg twice daily	Decrease to 2.5 mg twice daily if at least 2 of the following are present:	
		age ≥ 80 years, weight ≤ 60 kg, or serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 mg/dL	
		Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Rivaroxaban	20 mg once daily with evening meal	CrCl 15-50 mL/min: 15 mg once daily with evening meal Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Edoxaban	60 mg once daily	CrCl > 95 mL/min: not recommended CrCl 15-50 mL/min: 30 mg once daily Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Betrixaban	Not indicated for Stroke Prophylaxis in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation	Not indicated for Stroke Prophylaxis in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation	

DOAC Dosing for VTE Treatment

DOAC	Dosing for VTE Treatment	Dose Adjustment	
Dabigatran	150 mg twice daily (prior bridging with parenteral anticoagulation required for 5-10 days)	CrCl ≤ 30 mL/min: not recommended CrCl < 50 mL/min with concomitant P-gp	
		inhibitor: avoid use	
Apixaban	10 mg twice daily for 1 week,	CrCl < 15 mL/min: not studied	
	then 5 mg twice daily	Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Rivaroxaban	15 mg twice daily with evening meal for 3	CrCl < 30 mL/min: not recommended	
	meal	Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Edoxaban	60 mg once daily (prior bridging with parenteral	CrCl 15-50 mL/min or weight < 60 kg or	
	anticoagulation required for 5-10 days)	concomitant P-gp inhibitors: 30 mg once daily	
		Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended	
Betrixaban	Not indicated for VTE treatment	Not indicated for VTE treatment	

DOAC Dosing for VTE Prophylaxis Following Knee or Hip Replacement Surgery

DOAC	Dosing for VTE Prophylaxis After Hip or Knee Replacement	Dose Adjustment		
Dabigatran	110 mg within 1-4 hours after surgery, then 220 mg once daily for 28-35 days for hip replacement surgery	Dialysis or CrCl ≤ 15 mL/min: not recommended		
	If not started on the first day, then treatment can be started at 220 mg once daily for hip replacement surgery			
Apixaban	2.5 mg twice daily within 12-24 hours after surgery	Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended		
	For knee replacement, the recommended duration is 12 days			
	For hip replacement, the recommended duration is 35 days			
Rivaroxaban	10 mg once daily within 6-10 hours after surgery	CrCl ≤ 30 mL/min: not recommended Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended		
	For knee replacement, the recommended duration is 12 days			
	For hip replacement, the recommended duration is 35 days			
Edoxaban	Not indicated for VTE Prophylaxis after hip or knee replacement	Not indicated for VTE Prophylaxis after hip or knee replacement		
Betrixaban	Not indicated for VTE Prophylaxis after hip or knee replacement	Not indicated for VTE Prophylaxis after hip or knee replacement		

DOAC Safety Considerations and Interaction Examples



Dietary interactions to consider:

- Dabigatran capsules must be swallowed whole and not opened due to the risk of increased absorption.
- Rivaroxaban (15 and 20 mg) should be taken with food for adequate absorption.

DOAC Safety Considerations and Interaction Examples



Disease state interactions include:

- Renal function
 - Renal elimination differs among DOACs
- From more to less renal elimination: dabigatran > edoxaban > rivaroxaban > apixaban

DOAC Safety Considerations and Interaction Examples



Drug interactions:

- Pharmacokinetic interactions occur when one drug alters the absorption, distribution, metabolism or excretion of the other drug.
- Pharmacodynamic interactions occur between drugs with similar or opposite pharmacological effects.

Please refer to full prescribing information before prescribing.

DOAC Reversal

- DOACs have relatively short half-lives. Major bleeding that occurs in patients taking DOACs is often able to be managed by temporarily discontinuing the anticoagulant and providing supportive measures (compression, surgical repair, fluid and/or blood replacement).
- Rates of severe and fatal bleeding associated with DOACs in the pivotal registry trials was low in the absence of reversal agents.
- High quality data on the impact of reversal agents on clinical outcomes in DOAC associated bleeding are lacking. There is no randomized trial evidence demonstrating that the administration of reversal agents improves outcomes.

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1502000

Praxbind (idarucizumab) [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim;2015 <u>https://www.tctmd.com/news/andexanet-alfa-first-reversal-agent-factor-xa-inhibitors-finally-gains-fda-approval</u> Refs: AC Forum 2019 guidance, ACC 2017 guidance

DOAC Reversal

- Reversing anticoagulation places patients at their baseline elevated risk of thromboembolism. Consider restarting anticoagulation as soon as medically appropriate.
- Use of reversal agent should <u>only</u> be considered if the bleed is life threatening, in a critical organ, or is not controlled with supportive measures. There should be reasonable certainty that therapeutic anticoagulant levels are present.

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1502000

Praxbind (idarucizumab) [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim;2015 https://www.tctmd.com/news/andexanet-alfa-first-reversal-agent-factor-xa-inhibitors-finally-gains-fda-approval Refs: AC Forum 2019 guidance, ACC 2017 guidance

DOAC Reversal Agents

For Dabigatran:

- **Idarucizumab** is indicated in patients treated with dabigatran when reversal of the anticoagulant effects is needed, and for emergency surgery/urgent procedures or in life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding.
- Route of administration and dose: Intravenous (I.V.) Dose: 5 g (2 vials, each contains 2.5 g) as two consecutive infusions or bolus injection by injecting both vials consecutively one after another via syringe into I.V. line.
- In the REVERSE-AD study, investigators observed reversal effects within 30 minutes, and a median of 11.4 hours for time to bleeding cessation.

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1502000

Praxbind (idarucizumab) [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim;2015

https://www.tctmd.com/news/andexanet-alfa-first-reversal-agent-factor-xa-inhibitors-finally-gains-fda-approval

DOAC Reversal Agents

For apixaban and rivaroxaban:

- Andexanet Alfa is indicated for patients treated with factor Xa inhibitors (apixaban, rivaroxaban) when reversal of anticoagulation is needed due to life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding.
- Route of administration and dose: Intravenous (I.V.) bolus, with a target rate of 30 mg/min, followed by continuous infusion for up to 120 minutes. The dose is based on the specific FXa inhibitor, dose of FXa inhibitor, and time since the patient's last dose of FXa inhibitor.
- Reversal activity was observed within 2-5 minutes following bolus administration and maintained throughout the duration of continuous infusion.

For betrixaban and edoxaban:

• No FDA approved reversal agents for these drugs.

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1502000

Praxbind (idarucizumab) [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim;2015

https://www.tctmd.com/news/andexanet-alfa-first-reversal-agent-factor-xa-inhibitors-finally-gains-fda-approval

Advantages and Disadvantages of Warfarin

Advantages

- Long track record with predictable benefits and results.
- Once daily dosing.
- Ability to readily measure anticoagulant effect with INR test.

Disadvantages

- Narrow therapeutic window.
- Diet, disease states, and drugs may significantly alter INR.
- INR must be monitored regularly.
- Maintaining INRs within the target range optimizes the balance of efficacy and safety. In AFib patients, the target INR range is 2-3.
- Dosing schedule can be complicated.
- Contraindicated in pregnant women.

Advantages of DOACs

Advantages

- No regular laboratory monitoring for anticoagulant effect.
- Rapid onset/offset of action.
- Fewer drug interactions.
- Predictable pharmacokinetics.
- Efficacy and safety are at least as good as warfarin (for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation, and treatment and secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism).
- Lower rates of stroke caused by bleeding in the brain.

Disadvantages of DOACs

Disadvantages

- No widely agreed on laboratory test to monitor anticoagulant effect.
- Some DOACS may cause upset stomach.
- DOACs in general have a shorter half-life than warfarin, making missed doses more consequential.
- No adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women.
 - Should only be used during pregnancy if the potential benefit outweighs the potential risk to the mother and fetus.

Knowledge Check

Which of the following is **not** a risk factor for both stroke and bleeding for patients with AFib?

- a. Hypertension
- b. History of Stroke
- c. Age > 65 years
- d. Female Gender
- e. All of the above are risk factors for both risk of stroke and risk of bleeding for patients with AFib



Knowledge Check

Which of the following is **not** a risk factor for both stroke and bleeding for patients with AFib?

- a. Hypertension
- b. History of Stroke
- c. Age > 65 years
- d. Female Gender
- e. All of the above are risk factors for both risk of stroke and risk of bleeding for patients with AFib



Challenges in the Use of Oral Anticoagulants

Barriers to Oral Anticoagulant Use

- Narrow therapeutic index
- Availability of continuous monitoring
- Perceived risk of bleeding
- Patient preferences
- Cost
- Availability of reversal agents

Improper Use of DOACs

- A retrospective analysis investigating the appropriateness of DOAC prescriptions was conducted in the province of Quebec, Canada.
- Adult patients hospitalized between October 2011 and October 2014, with a diagnosis of Afib and a DOAC prescription were included in the study (a total of 500 patients).
- Data retrieved from the electronic medical records and prescriptions were evaluated according to appropriateness criteria.

Lavoie K, Turgeon MH, Brais C, et al. Inappropriate dosing of direct oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Atr Fibrillation. 2016;9(4):1478. Published 2016 Dec 31. doi:10.4022/jafib.1478

Study Results: Improper Use of DOACs

- Only 70% of DOAC prescriptions were appropriate.
- 24% of patients received an inappropriate dose. Of this 24%,
 - 57% received a reduced dose with no clear indication.
 - 43% received a dose that was not reduced while indicated.



Lavoie K, Turgeon MH, Brais C, et al. Inappropriate dosing of direct oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Atr Fibrillation. 2016;9(4):1478. Published 2016 Dec 31. doi:10.4022/jafib.1478

Improper Use of DOACs

- Two retrospective U.S. AFib cohort registries showed that while most patients are receiving appropriate doses, a significant minority of patients receive off-label dosing (under or over dosing).
- Off-label dosing of DOACs in the AFib population is associated with worse outcomes:
 - Increased all-cause mortality and cardiovascular hospitalizations (Steinberg).
 - Increased major bleeding in overdosing and increased stroke in under dosing in patients with kidney disease (Yao).
 - Important to evaluate renal function and other factors for indications of reduced dosing.
 - Off-label use of lower than recommended doses may be harmful.

Steinberg BA, Shrader P, Thomas L, et al. Off-label dosing of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and adverse outcomes. The ORBIT-AF II Registry. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68(24):2597-604.

Yao X, Shah ND, Sangaralingham LR, et al. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant dosing in patients with atrial fibrillation and renal dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(23):2779-90.

Underutilization of Anticoagulation

- 40-48% of observed patient days there was no antithrombotic protection.
- Older females with a high number of comorbidities were especially at risk for underutilization of oral anticoagulation.

Wilke T, Groth A, Mueller S, et al. Oral anticoagulation use by patients with atrial fibrillation in Germany. Adherence to guidelines, causes of anticoagulation under-use and its clinical outcomes, based on claims-data of 183,448 patients. Thromb Haemost. 2012;107(6):1053-1065 Man-Son-Hing M, Nichol G, Lau A, Laupacis A. Choosing antithrombotic therapy for elderly patients with atrial fibrillation who are at risk for falls. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159(7):677-685.

Steps for Clinicians to Optimize Oral Anticoagulant Use

Use Shared Decision-Making Tools

Shared decision-making (SDM) is a collaborative process between a patient and their provider.

- Core strategy to promote patient-centered healthcare
- Helps patients weigh pros and cons of treatment that may be valued differently by different patients
- Leads to more realistic expectations
- Shown to improve treatment adherence

Elwyn G, Laitner S, Coulter A, et al. Implementing shared decision making in the NHS. BMJ 2010;341:c5146.

Greenes R. Clinical Decision Support: The Road Ahead. Boston, MA: Academic Press, 2011.

Stacey D, Legare F, Col NF, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;1:CD001431.





https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498





Help your patient explore and compare treatment options.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498





Assess your patient's values and preferences.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498





Reach a decision with your patient.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5600016/ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004498

Solicit Patient Preferences

- Provide patients and their caregivers with the pros and cons of warfarin compared to DOACs.
- Identify your patients' values before anticoagulant selection.
- Allow patients to actively participate in the shared decisionmaking process.

Palacio AM, Kirolos I, Tamariz L. Patient values and preferences when choosing anticoagulants. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2015;9:133–8. Ferguson C, et al. The caregiver role in thromboprophylaxis management in atrial fibrillation: a literature review. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2015;14(2):98-107.
Patient Preferences

Factors that were important to patients were:

- Prevention of stroke
- Lack of interactions with drugs and food
- Availability of a reversal agent
- Ease of administration

Lyp GYH, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST guideline and expert panel report, CHEST (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.201807.040

Patient Preferences

DOACS were generally preferred over vitamin K antagonists due to:

- Absence of INR monitoring
- Lower risk of bleeding

Cost may be a factor in driving patient and provider preferences of a vitamin K antagonist over a DOAC.

Lyp GYH, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST guideline and expert panel report, CHEST (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.201807.040

Tools for the Safe Use of Oral Anticoagulants

Patient Tools

DOAC Double-Check!

For patients on direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs)

\checkmark	Double-check the Indication	
D	Drug-Drug Interactions Including pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions	
0	Organ Function Including liver and renal impairment	
Α	Adjustments For all the above as well as age and weight, if applicable	
С	Counsel!	

Μ

Direct Oral Anticoagulant Alert Card

This patient is taking anticoagulant therapy This card should be carried at all times and shown to health care professionals

Name:		
Address:		
Postcode:	Telephone:	
CHI Number:		
Name of next of kin:	Telephone:	

ISMP's Patient Handout on Warfarin

In 2013, the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) developed a patient handout for warfarin, which includes a Top 10 List of Safety Tips for patients.

For a copy of this patient handout, please see the link on Resources section on the course launch page.



Patient Handouts on DOACs



https://www.ismp.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-11/Eliquisfinal.pdf https://consumermedsafety.org/images/Pradaxafinal.pdf https://www.ismp.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-11/Xareltofinal.pdf

2020 U.S. Food & Drug Administration

Clinician Tools



MAQI² Anticoagulation Toolkit App

For more information on MAQI², or to view the complete toolkit, please visit: <u>http://www.maqi2.org/</u> <u>http://anticoagulationtoolkit.org/sites/default/files/toolkit_pdfs/toolkitfull.pdf</u> <u>http://anticoagulationtoolkit.org/sites/default/files/toolkit_pdfs/patient/Warfarin/patienttoolkiteditsfeb282016.pdf</u>

MAPPP App for Peri-Procedural Management



The MAPPP App is a guide intended to:

- Assist clinicians in evaluating procedure-related bleeding risk and underlying risk of thrombosis,
- Guide decision-making regarding the interruption of anticoagulation and the use of anticoagulant "bridging,"
- Provide drug dosing and laboratory monitoring guidance in the peri-procedural period, and
- Encourage clear communication between clinicians involved in prescribing anticoagulants and performing invasive procedures.

For more information on the MAPPP APP, please visit: <u>http://mappp.ipro.org/</u>

Spyropoulos, A. C., Giannis, D., Cohen, J., John, S., Myrka, A., Inlall, D., ... Wang, J. J. (2020). Implementation of the Management of Anticoagulation in the Periprocedural Period App Into an Electronic Health Record: A Prospective Cohort Study. Clinical and Applied Thrombosis/Hemostasis. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1076029620925910</u>

er Pa					
or Pa		station of GAC for Atr	of Fiorilatio	n Order Set	1
	tients Clinically U	suitable for DOACs			
Table 1	to consider warfann for anical valve - warfann i	The following indications: and called to decrease the real	of the resources	in constants	
Tibe.	matic Mitral stancess-	when a industed for patients	with minul alars	**	
3 7800	odalysis - wafare is in	icated to reduce the ran of at	via in hemodiary	na peterth	
itroke	Risk				
\$ O-44	OS/AMS arow " * .	To calculate 8	Ne CHA,C6, WW	to some, wherite <u>302 Proves it advance and</u>	
MAC4	when how		- Provide	1	
Circle	vibe Heat Failure		1	1	
-	ar uch		1	1	
April	- 24		1	1	
Age 2	11 years		2	1	
Diabet	es Meltus		1	1	13
Phor st	HINK OF TAK		2]	
rimou .	ar disease (province rig	ocential infection, entenal	1	1	
fame	a serve property		1	1	1.
			Max 9	1	
			1	2	
-adjus	ned Stroke Risk for Je	ful Fibrillation based on Chi	A2043 WRAte No		
	Find (% per year)				
Score	and the second sec	1			
500re	02%	1			
800 ** 9 1	02% 06%				
800m 9 1 2	02% 06% 22%				
800m 9 1 2 9	02% 06% 22% 02%				
8000m 9 1 2 3 4	02% 06% 22% 02%				
8000m 9 1 2 3 4 5	02% 06% 22% 02% 45% 72%				
0 0 1 2 0 4 6 0	02% 06% 22% 02% 48% 72% 43%				
8000m 8 1 2 3 4 4 8 6 7	02% 06% 02% 02% 48% 72% 83% 112%				
0 0 1 2 0 4 4 0 7 7 8	02% 00% 23% 02% 48% 48% 43% 43% 43% 43%				

Patient order sets consider individual patient characteristics and help identify the best drug therapy and dose and which lab tests should be run before and during the treatment.

Document aller reconciliation h	gies on approve as been reviewe	d form and ensure medication ed as per organizational process			
	I	Initiation of OAC for Atria	I Fibrillation Order Set		AC
Orders Cor	tinued				
Baseline Lat Baseline C	Orders BC	Baseline INR for warfar	rin		
Follow-up La DOACS Monitor ren Anticoagula	al function q3-12 ant clinic referral	2months (e.g. serum creatinine, Cr as per policy/procedure ^(7;8)	Cl)		
Target INR	2 – 3 ys for 2 weeks,	then as instructed by clinician or a	nticoagulation clinic		
increased risk minimizing ble Patient sho	who take multipl for bleeding com eding risk whene uld continue cur	le anti-thrombotic agents (aspirin, l splications. Clinicians should review ver possible ⁽⁹⁾ . rent ASA therapy Patien	NSAIDS, P2Y12 inhibitors and w the risk-benefit ratio for each t should discontinue current As	l anticoagulants) are at i medication and consider SA therapy	
Increased risk minimizing blev Patient sho Proton Pump Note: Clinician the active meta dexlansopraz assomepraz	who take multipi for bleeding com eding risk whene uld continue cur b Inhibitors (P to consider PPI abolite(s) of dabij azole 30 mg PO ole 20 mg PO or e abore con e	le anti-thrombotic agents (aspirin, 1 pipications: Clinicians should review ver possible ⁽⁹⁾ , rent ASA therapy Patient (Pis) for patients at high risk of GI blees gatran. PPIs are optimally taken 33 once daily once daily (avoid concomitant use w ng PO once daily (15 – 30 mg)	NSAIDS, F2Y12 Inhibitors and w the risk-benefit ratio for each t should discontinue current At ding ^(10, 11) , PPIs may decrease 0 ninutes before breakfast. /ith clopidogrel)	anticoagulants) are at medication and consider SA therapy e serum concentrations of	
Increased risk minimizing blev Patient sho Proton Pump Note: Clinician the active meta devlansopr esomeprazol pantoprazo rabeprazol	who take multipli for bleeding com diding risk whene uld continue cur b Inhibitors (P to consider PPI abolite(s) of dabig azole 30 mg PO ole 20 mg PO once len a 20 mg PO once	le anti-thrombotic agents (asprin, I pilications. Clinicians should review vere possible ^(R) , rent ASA therapy Patient (PIs) for patients at high risk of GI blees gatran. PPIs are optimally taken 33 once daily and PO once daily (15 – 33 mg) de daily (avoid concentiant use with ng PO once daily (20 – 40 mg) e daily	NSAIDS, P2Y12 inhibitors and w the risk-benefit ratio for each t should discontinue current Al ding (10.11), PPIs may decrease or minutes before breakfast. vith clopidogrel) c clopidogrel)	anticoagulants) are at medication and consider SA therapy e serum concentrations of	
Increased risk minimizing ble Patient bar Proton Pum Note: Cliniciau devansopre escmepraz lansoprazo ormeprazol motorescri Not Prescri List Reasons:	who take multiple for bleeding come utid continue cur utid continue cur lo consider PPI bobite(s) of dabi azole 30 mg PO once e	le anti-thrombotic agents (asprin, In pipatations: Clinicians should review were possibles [®] , ernet ASA therapy Patient P[5] for patients at high risk of Gl bleec agartan. PPIs are optimality taken 33 once daily and come daily (15 – 30 mg) a daily (20 – donoralitant use w ng PO once daily (20 – 40 mg) e daily	NSAIDS, P2Y12 inhibitors and whe risk-benefit ratio for each schoold discontinue current Al ding (10:11), PPIs may decrease 0 minutes before breakfast. (ith clopidogrel) a clopidogrel)	anticoagulants) are at medication and consider SA therapy eserum concentrations of	
Increased risk Increased risk Patient sho Patient sho Patient sho Increased Statement Increased Statement Increased Statement Increased Statement Increased Statement Submitted by:	who take multiple or bleeding com uld continue cur uld continue cur to consider PPI boilte(s) of dabia szole 30 mg PO once e nr s20 mg PO once e nr s20 mg PO once bed an OAC	le anti-thrombotic agents (asprin, I. pijications. Cilicitians should review vere possible ^m , rent ASA therapy Patient P[5] for patients at high risk of GI blee; gaftra. PPIs are optimality taken 33 once daily (avoid concomitant use we ng PO once daily (15 – 30 mg) e daily (20 – 40 mg) e daily	NSAIDS, P2Y12 inhibitors and whe risk-benefit ratio for each should discontinue current Al ding ^{(10, 11}), PPIs may decrease 0 minutes before breakfast. (ifth clopidogrel) clopidogrel)	anticoagulants) are at medication and consider SA therapy serum concentrations of	
Increased risk Inimizing blev Patient sho Patient sho Children Sho Initiation Initia	mo take multip or bleeding com uld continue cur uld continue cur in hibitors (P lo bolite(s) of dabi azole 30 mg PO once e 20 mg PO once bed an OAC	le anti-thrombotic agents (asprin, Injenians should review ver possible ¹⁰ , rent ASA therapy Patient P(s) for patients at high risk of GI blee; gatran. PPIs are optimally taken 34 once daily (avoid concomitant use with ng PO once daily (20 – 40 mg) e daily PPO once daily (20 – 40 mg) e daily	NSAIDS. P2Y12 inhibitors and whe risk-benefit ratio for each t should discontinue current Al ding (16:11). PPIs may decrease 0 minutes before breakfast. (the clopidogref) 	anticoagulants) are at medication and consider SA therapy serum concentrations of Read Back	

A link to this Patient Order Set is available in the Resources section of the course landing page.

2020 U.S. Food & Drug Administration

Desument alleraise c	aved form and ensure	nation		
reconciliation has been revi	ewed as per organizational	process		
	Acute VTE Care Tran	sition Order Set (Adu	t)	
Administration				
DOCUMENT PURPOSE				
This order set may be us vein thrombosis, pulmon emergency department to	ed for adult patients diagr ary embolism) who are rea o outpatient care settings.	nosed with venous thron ady to be transferred fro	boembolism (VTE: deep m the hospital or	
Direct oral anticoagulants parenteral anticoagulant (LMWH) overlapped with the following to be a DO	s (DOACs) should be cons such as unfractionated he warfarin) if the patient is AC candidate:	sidered in preference to eparin (UFH) or low mole an appropriate candidat	non-DOAC therapy (a ecular weight heparin e ⁽¹⁾ . Patients must have	
 Adequate renal funct No significant drug in Confirmed financial c 	ion: creatinine clearance (teractions (e.g., carbamaz overage for medication	CrCl) >30 mL/min (> 25 zepine, antifungals)	mL/min for Apixaban)	
 History of good comp 	liance with medications a	nd/or appointments or h	ighly likely to be adherent	
Non-DOAC Therapy for	VTE Patients Clinically	Unsuitable for DOACs		
Clinician to consider non parenteral with warfarin)	-DOAC therapy (therapeu for the following indication	tic dose parenteral antic	oagulants or lead-in	
THERAPEUTIC DOSE PARE	ENTERAL ANTICOAGULANTS	3		
Cancer-associated given extensive expectite criteria, is able to tole Pregnancy/breastfe preferred	venous thromboembolis rience, but DOACs are re trate oral medications and eding: UFH or LMWH (ar	m (CAT): LMWH mono asonable if patient meet /or is unable/unwilling to ad occasionally warfarin	therapy may be preferred s above DOAC eligibility o use LMWH ⁽²⁾ in breastfeeding only) are	
Patients with hepari	n-induced thrombocyto	penia (HIT) or a histor	of HIT: Consider	
fondaparinux Patients with severe preferred over LMWH	e renal dysfunction (esti	mated CrCl <15 ml/mir	or dialysis): UFH is	
LEAD-IN PARENTERAL WIT	H WARFARIN			
Antiphospholipid A	ntibody Syndrome (APA	S)		
Severe renal impain	ment or hemodialysis			
Mechanical valve				
Submitted by:	PRINTED NAME	YYYY-MM-DD HH3	Read Back	
Practitioner:				

The Anticoagulation Forum also provides Patient Order Sets for adult patients needing acute VTE care transition.

https://acforum.org/web/education-sets.php

2020 U.S. Food & Drug Administration

~	FORUM		
Document allergies on approved reconciliation has been reviewed	form and ensure medicati as per organizational pro-	on cess	
Ac	ute VTE Care Transiti	ion Order Set (Adult)	
Factors Influencing Drug Se	lection		
Renal and liver characteristics therapy.	are necessary to deter	mine appropriateness of	anticoagulation
RENAL FUNCTION			
Calculate estimated CrCl u	sing the Cockcroft-Gau	It formula based on the f	ollowing:
Age:		ka)	
Gender:		181	
Serum Creatinine:		(mg/dL)	
Estimated CrCI:	(mL/min	ute)	
To calculate CrCl using the Co	ockcroft-Gault formula, i	efer to	
https://www.kidney.org/profess	sionals/KDOQI/gfr_calc	ulatorCoc	
LIVER FUNCTION			
LIVER FUNCTION	No Yes: 0	Child Pugh Grade:	
LIVER FUNCTION IN LIVER DISEASE:	No Yes: 0	Child Pugh Grade:	0
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: [CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Table Visuals (confile)	☐ No ☐ Yes: 0	Child Pugh Grade:	3 points
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Count of therein (crift)	No Yes: (Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3	3 points
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INP	No Yes: 0 1 point < 2	2 points 2 - 3 2.8 - 3.5 17 - 2.2	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2 2
Liver FUNCTION Liver Disease: [CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites	No Yes: 0 1 point <	2 points 2 - 3 2.8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe
LIVER FUNCTION LIVER DIsease: CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Henstie acceptalonathy	No Yes: (< 2	2 points 2 - 3 2.8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade Lill	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III/V
Liver Function Liver Disease: Chilo Pyton Score Measure Total bilrubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five	No Yes: 0 2 Point 2 2 3 3 5 Less than 1.7 None Clinical measures of live	2 points 2 - 3 2 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade I-II gr disease ⁽²⁾	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION 2 Liver Disease: CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilrubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) II/R Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, b	No Yes: 0	2 points 2 - 3 2.8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade I-II or disease ^{a,1} , st condition.	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION \[Liver Disease: [Chillo Pudh Scone: Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, v Total score of 5-6; grade A (w	No Yes: 0 2 point 2 2 3 .5 . Less than 1.7 None Clinical measures of liviv with 3 indicating the word el-compensated diseas	2 points 2 - 3 2.8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade - II-I of stease ⁽³⁾ , st condition. e)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION \[\] Liver Disease: [(CHL0 PUGH SCORE Measure Serum albumin (g/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, y Total score of 7-6: grade A (w Total score of 7-9: grade B (s)	No Yes: 0 2 2 3 .5 Less than 1.7 None Clinical measures of live with 3 indicating the wor ell-compensated diseas gnificant functional com	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade I-II or disease ³⁰ , st condition. e) promise)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: Child PUGH Score Measure Total bilrubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, y Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score 10-75; grade B (c)	No Yes: 0 1 point < 2 > 3.5 Less than 1.7 None None Clinical measures of livit 3 indicating the wor particant functional com compensated disease)	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade -II-II Grade -II-II or disease ³⁰ , st condition. e) promise)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION ⊠ Liver Disease: [Chillo Pudh Scone: Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, v Total score of 5-5; grade A (w Total score of 7-5; grade B (si Total score of 7-5; grade C (di VTE HISTORY (PLEASE CHECK /	No Yes: 0 Yes: 0 None None None Clinical measures of livit None Clinical measures of livit None Clinical measures of livit None N	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade 1-1 Grade 1-1 gr disease ²⁰ , st condition. e) promise)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: Chillo Pudd Score Measure Serum albumin (g/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, y Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score of 5-6; grade C (d Total score of 7-9: grade B (si) Total score of 7-9: grade B (si) Total score of 1-5; grade C (d Total score of 0-15; grade C (d Total Score 0-15; grade C (d Total Score 0-15; grade C (d Total Score 0-16; grade 0-16; grade C (d Total Score 0-16; grade 0	No Yes: 0 2 2 3 3.5 Less than 1.7 None Clinical measures of livy with 3 indicating the wor elicompensated diseas gnificant functional com ecompensated diseas gnificant functional diseas gnificant functional com ecompensated diseas (LITHAT APPLY) ne therapy, surgery with	2 points 2 - 3 2 - 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade I-II Grade I-II of disease ³ . st condition. e) promise)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION Liver FUNCTION Liver Disease: [CHILD PUGH SCORE Measure Total bilrubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, y Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score 10-5: grade C (d VTE History (PLEASE CHECK) IMPROVIDE (C.B. SCORE) IMPROVIDE VTE (e.g. hormon Immobilization ≥ 3 days) Unprovoked VTE	No Yes: 0 2 2 3.5 Less than 1.7 None None Clinical measures of live With 3 indicating the wor perfortant functional com perfortant functional com	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade -11 Grade -11 er disease ³⁰ , st condition. e) promise) hin 3 months, leg in a ca	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION ∐Liver Disease: [Chillo Pudh Scone Measure Total bilinubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, v Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score of 5-6; grade B (w Total score of 7-5; grade C (d VTE HISTORY (PLEASE CHECK / □ Provoked VTE (eg, hormo immobilization ≥ 3 days) □ Unprovoked VTE	No Yes: (2 2 3.5 Less than 1.7 None Clinical measures of live with 3 indicating the word ell-compensated disease) and that APPLY ne therapy, surgery with PE	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 - 3 (or suppressed with medication) Grade -1-1 Grade -1-1 Grade -1-1 gr disease ³⁰ , st condition, e) promise)	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION Liver Disease: Chillo PudN Score Measure Serum albumin (g/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, y Total score of 5-6; grade A (w Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 5-6; grade C (a) Total score of 1-5; grade C (a) Total score of 1-6; grade C (a) Total score of 1-6; grade C (a) Total score of 1-9; grade C (a) Drowked VTE (e, 0, hormo immobilization ≥ 3 days) Unprovoked VTE Recurrent proximal DVT or VTE preserve on admission	No Yes: 0 No Yes: 0 2 > 3.5 Less than 1.7 None None Clinical measures of livy clinical measures of livy With 3 indicating the wor ecompensated disease June LLITHAT APPLY) ne therapy, surgery with	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 - 2 3 - 2 3 - 2 3 - 2 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 13 	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION Liver FUNCTION Liver Disease: Total bilrowin (g/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) Results Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, Total score of 5-6; grade A (w TOtal score of 7-9; grade B (si) Total score of 5-6; grade A (w TOT HISTORY (PLEASE CHECK, UTE HISTORY (PLEASE CHECK, UTE The score of Total score of 0, Tot	No Yes: 0 Yes: 0	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade -11 Grade -11 er disease ³⁰ , st condition. e) promise) hin 3 months, leg in a ca	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION Liver FUNCTION Liver Disease: Chillo Pudd Scone Measure Total bilinubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, v Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 7-5; grade C (w) Liver State S	No Yes: (<u> </u>	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade 1-11 Grade 1-11 Grade 1-11 er disease ²⁰ , st condition. e) promise) hin 3 months, leg in a ca	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent
LIVER FUNCTION Liver FUNCTION Liver Disease: Chillo Pudd Scone Measure Total bilirubin (mg/dL) Serum albumin (g/dL) INR Ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Note: The score employs five Each measure is scored 1-3, v Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade B (w) Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 7-9; grade B (s) Total score of 5-6; grade A (w) Total score of 7-9; grade B (s) Library (black B	No Yes: (2 2 3,5 Less than 1.7 None None clinical measures of live with 3 indicating the work clinical measures of live with 3 indicating the set and the set compensated disease gnificant functional com ecompensated disease scompensated disease the therapy, surgery with PE	Child Pugh Grade: 2 points 2 - 3 2 8 - 3.5 1.7 - 2.2 Mild (or suppressed with medication) Grade I-II or disease ⁽³⁾ , st condition. e) promise) hin 3 months, leg in a ca	3 points > 3 < 2.8 Greater than 2.2 Moderate to Severe (or refractory) Grade III-IV st, recent Recef Back

A link to this Patient Order Set is available in the Resources section of the course landing page.

Clinical Guidelines

Anticoagulation Forum (AC Forum), Guidance for the practical management of the direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) in VTE treatment, Burnett, A.E., Mahan, C.E., Vazquez, S.R. et al. J Thromb Thrombolysis (2016) 41: 206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11239-015-1310-7</u>

Anticoagulation Forum (AC Forum), Guidance for the evaluation and treatment of hereditary and acquired thrombophilia, Stevens, S.M., Woller, S.C., Bauer, K.A. et al. J Thromb Thrombolysis (2016) 41: 154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11239-015-1316-1

<u>Core Elements of Anticoagulation Stewardship Programs, https://acforum-excellence.org/Resource-Center/resource_files/-2019-09-18-110254.pdf</u>

American Society of Hematology (ASH) <u>https://www.hematology.org/Clinicians/Guidelines-</u> <u>Quality/Guidelines.aspx</u>

American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP or CHEST) <u>https://www.chestnet.org/Guidelines-and-Resources</u>

International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) <u>https://www.isth.org/page/Published_Guidance</u>

European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) Practical Guide on the Use of Non-vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

https://www.escardio.org/Guidelines/Recommended-Reading/Heart-Rhythm/Novel-Oral-Anticoagulants-for-Atrial-Fibrillation

January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.00000000000665

Knowledge Check

Case Study: MJ is a 66-year-old woman with AFib, a history of hypertension, and diabetes mellitus. She is currently taking lisinopril once daily and metformin ER once daily. MJ's mother died after suffering a stroke 10 years ago. She is interested in starting oral anticoagulation, but is concerned over the increased risk of bleeding. She doesn't want to have to come to the doctor's office every week or take medicine more than once a day.

Which oral anticoagulant would you recommend for MJ?

- a. Aspirin
- b. Warfarin
- c. Rivaroxaban
- d. None of the above

Knowledge Check

Case Study: MJ is a 66-year-old woman with AFib, a history of hypertension, and diabetes mellitus. She is currently taking lisinopril once daily and metformin ER once daily. MJ's mother died after suffering a stroke 10 years ago. She is interested in starting oral anticoagulation, but is concerned over the increased risk of bleeding. She doesn't want to have to come to the doctor's office every week or take medicine more than once a day.

Which oral anticoagulant would you recommend for MJ?

- a. Aspirin
- b. Warfarin
- c. Rivaroxaban
- d. None of the above

Summary

- Anticoagulants are beneficial in treatment of existing thrombosis and the prevention of primary and secondary thrombosis.
- High prevalence of oral anticoagulants being prescribed.
- Significant amount of adverse drug event related visits to the ED.
- Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic differences between DOACs and oral vitamin K antagonists, along with patientspecific factors, should be considered when choosing the appropriate oral anticoagulant agent.
- Clinicians should use strategies such as shared decisionmaking, patient preferences, and other evidence-based tools to help guide their oral anticoagulant choices.

Conclusion

You should now be able to:

- Discuss the prevalence and types of adverse drug events related to oral anticoagulants.
- Review the drug classifications of oral anticoagulants.
- Compare characteristics of oral vitamin K antagonists and direct acting oral anticoagulants.
- Identify pathophysiological states for utilization of oral anticoagulation therapy.
- Review barriers to proper oral anticoagulant use.
- List steps clinicians can take to reduce the risk of adverse drug events from oral anticoagulants.

Annex

Generic Name	Brand Name
Apixaban	Eliquis
Betrixaban	Bevyxxa
Dabigatran	Pradaxa
Edoxaban	Savaysa
Rivaroxaban	Xarelto
Warfarin	Coumadin, Jantoven

Apostolakis S et al. Performance of the HEMORR(2)HAGES, ATRIA, and HAS-BLED bleeding risk-prediction scores in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing anticoagulation: the AMADEUS (evaluating the use of SR34006 compared to warfarin or acenocoumarol in patients with atrial fibrillation) study. J AM Coll Cardiol 2012 Aug 28;60(9):861-7.

Ageno, W., Gallus, A. S., Wittkowsky, A., Crowther, M., Hylek, E. M., & Palareti, G. (2012). Oral Anticoagulant Therapy Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *CHEST Journal*, 141(2), e445–e44S. doi: https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.11-2292

Camm AJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, et al. 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: an update of the 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(21):2719-2747.

Coulter A, Ellins J. Effectiveness of strategies for informing, educating, and involving patients. BMJ. 2007 Jul 7;335(7609):24-7

Dickerson RN, Garmon WM, Kuhl DA, Minard G, Brown RO. Vitamin K-independent warfarin resistance after concurrent administration of warfarin and continuous enteral nutrition. Pharmacotherapy. 2008;28:308-13.

Elwyn G, Laitner S, Coulter A, et al. Implementing shared decision making in the NHS. BMJ. 2010;341:c5146.

Ferguson C, et al. The caregiver role in thromboprophylaxis management in atrial fibrillation: a literature review. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2015;14(2):98-107.

Fuster J, et al. American heart association/american college of cardiology foundation guide to warfarin therapy. Circulation. 2003 Apr 1;107(2):1692-711.

Greenes R. Clinical Decision Support: The Road Ahead. Boston, MA: Academic Press, 2011.

Harrington J, Noble LM, Newman SP. Improving patients' communication with doctors: a systematic review of intervention studies. Patient Educ Counsel 2004 Jan;52(1):7-16

ISMP QuarterWatch Report. Ismp.org. https://www.ismp.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-01/2016Q4_1.pdf. Published July 12, 2017. Accessed July 31, 2018.

January CT, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation. 2014 Dec 2;130(23):2071-104.

Kaiser K, Cheng W, et al. Development of a shared decision-making tool to assist patients and clinicians with decisions on oral anticoagulant treatment for atrial fibrillation. CMRO.

Lavoie K, Turgeon MH, Brais C, et al. Inappropriate dosing of direct oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Atr Fibrillation. 2016;9(4):1478. Published 2016 Dec 31. doi:10.4022/jafib.1478

Lip GYH, et al. Bleeding risk assessment and management in atrial fibrillation patients. Executive Summary of a Position Document from the European Heart Rhythm Association [EHRA], endorsed by the European Society of Cardiology [ESC] Working Group on Thrombosis. Thromb Haemost. 2011;106(12):997-1011.

Lip GYH, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST guideline and expert panel report, *CHEST* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.201807.040.

Lourenco R. Enteral feeding: drug/nutrient interaction. Clin Nutr. 2001;20:187-93.

Makaryus JN, Halperin JL, Lau JF. Oral anticoagulants in the management of venous thromboembolism. Nature Reviews Cardiology. 2013 Jul;10(7):397-409.

Man-Son-Hing M, Nichol G, Lau A, Laupacis A. Choosing antithrombotic therapy for elderly patients with atrial fibrillation who are at risk for falls. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159(7):677-685.

Palacio AM, Kirolos I, Tamariz L. Patient values and preferences when choosing anticoagulants. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2015;9:133–8.

Penrod LE, Allen JB, Cabacungan LR. Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2011;82:1270-3.

Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HF, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. Chest. 2010 Nov;138(5):1093-100.

Praxbind (idarucizumab) [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT: Boehringer Ingelheim;2015

Shehab N, et al. US emergency department visits for outpatient adverse drug events 2013-2014. 2016 Nov;316(20):2115-2125

Spyropoulos, A. C., Giannis, D., Cohen, J., John, S., Myrka, A., Inlall, D., ... Wang, J. J. (2020). Implementation of the Management of Anticoagulation in the Periprocedural Period App Into an Electronic Health Record: A Prospective Cohort Study. Clinical and Applied Thrombosis/Hemostasis. https://doi.org/10.1177/1076029620925910

Stacey D, et al. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Jan 28;1:CD001431

US Department of Health and Human Services. National action plan for adverse drug event prevention. https://health.gov/hcq/pdfs/ade-action-plan-508c.pdf

Warfarin. Consumermedsafety.org. http://consumermedsafety.org/assets/Warfarin1-13.pdf. Published 2013. Accessed July 31, 2018.

https://www.ismp.org/resources/high-alert-medication-learning-guides-consumers,

https://www.ismp.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-11/Eliquisfinal.pdf;

https://consumermedsafety.org/images/Pradaxafinal.pdf

https://www.ismp.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-11/Xareltofinal.pdf

Warfarin INR Test Meters. Fda.gov.

https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/InVitroDiagnostics/WarfarinINRTestMeters/def ault.htm. Updated March 26, 2018. Accessed July 31,2018.

Wilke T, Groth A, Mueller S, et al. Oral anticoagulation use by patients with atrial fibrillation in Germany. Adherence to guidelines, causes of anticoagulation under-use and its clinical outcomes, based on claims-data of 183,448 patients. Thromb Haemost. 2012;107(6):1053-1065.